## Case3:12-cv-05289-JSC Document57 Filed12/23/13 Page1 of 2

1	DALE L. ALLEN, JR., SBN 145279		
2	KEVIN P. ALLEN, SBN 252290 LOW, BALL & LYNCH		
3	505 Montgomery Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 981-6630		
4	Facsimile: (415) 982-1634 Email: dallen@lowball.com		
5	Email: kallen@lowball.com		
6 7	Attorneys for Defendants SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT AND BART DEPUTY POLICE CHIEF DAN HARTWIG		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	TOR THE WORTHER	N DISTRICT OF CALL ORIVIN	
11	DAVID MODGE	C N C12 5200 ICC (DMD)	
12	DAVID MORSE,	Case No. C12-5289 JSC (DMR)	
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR	
14	VS.	SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, SUMMARY	
15	SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT (BART); and BART	ADJUDICATION	
	Deputy Police Chief DAN HARTWIG, sued	Date: January 27, 2014	
16   17	in his official and individual capacities,  Defendants.	Time: 9:00 a.m. Courtroom: F, 15th Floor (San Francisco) Judge: Hon. Jacqueline Scott Corley	
18		Trial Date: March 31, 2014	
19			
20	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR AT	TORNEYS OF RECORD:	
21	The motion by SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT ("BART") and		
22	BART DEPUTY CHIEF DAN HARTWIG (collectively, "Defendants"), for summary judgment or, in		
23	the alternative, summary adjudication, as to the Complaint filed by Plaintiff DAVID MORSE		
24	4 ("Plaintiffs") came on regularly for hearing on January 27, 2014, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom F of the		
25	above entitled Court, located on the 15th floor of 450 Golden Gate Ave. in San Francisco, California.		
26	Low, Ball & Lynch appeared on behalf of Defendants and Siegel & Yee appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.		
27	///		
28	///		
	(DD OD OGDD 1 COO	-1-	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER	K KEGAKDING DEFENDANTS'	

MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, SUMMARY ADJUDICATION

## 

1	Having considered the papers in regard to this motion, and after oral argument, the Court hereby		
2	rules as follows:		
3	1. Defendants' Rule 56 motion is GRANTED with respect to Plaintiff's first cause of		
4	action alleging state-law false arrest/false imprisonment.		
5	2. Defendants' Rule 56 motion is GRANTED with respect to Plaintiff's second cause of		
6	action alleging Fourth Amendment unlawful arrest.		
7	3. Defendants' Rule 56 motion is GRANTED with respect to Plaintiff's third cause of		
8	action alleging First Amendment retaliation.		
9	4. Rule 56 motion is GRANTED with respect to Plaintiff's claim for punitive damage		
10	under state law.		
11	5. Rule 56 motion is GRANTED with respect to Plaintiff's claim for punitive damage		
12	under federal law.		
13			
14	PREJUDICE.  IT IS SO ORDERED.		
15			
16			
17	Dotad		
18	Dated: HON. JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY		
19	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
l	[FROFOSED] OKDEK KEGAKDING DEFENDAN IS		